Clean Production Action







Together, we're creating a safer and healthier future

Chemicals without Harm

Policies for a Sustainable World

Ken Geiser Lowell Center for Sustainable Production

March 14, 2016



Mark S. Rossi, PhD Executive Director, Clean Production Action Chair, BizNGO





Questions?

| | _ 0 5 |
|--|-------|
| - Audio | |
| Telephone | |
| Mic & Speakers <u>Settings</u> | |
| \$00000000 400000 00 | 00 |
| * | |
| - Questions | 5 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| [Enter a question for staff] | - |
| [Enter a question for staff] | - |
| [Enter a question for staff] | Send |
| [Enter a question for staff] | Send |
| [Enter a question for staff] web Webinar ID: 126-921-011 | Send |

- Post your question to the Questions pane in your GoToWebinar Control Panel
- Presentation and recording will be available at <u>www.bizngo.org</u>

Chemicals without Harm

Policies for a Sustainable World



There is a problem with chemicals:



♦ BPA is found in 9 out of 10 Americans

> 232 toxic chemicals are found in umbilical cord blood of newborns in the US



Too many of the products that we need and use are made with hazardous chemicals

The Conventional Federal Policy Response to Hazardous Chemicals

Federal Chemical Control Laws on the 1970s

- Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)
- Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act (FFDCA)
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)
- Consumer Product Safety Act of (CPSA)

For some 40 years we have relied on government regulations to manage the risks of dangerous chemicals

Limits of Federal Chemical Control Laws

- The laws depended on government to determine risks
- The processes focused on exposure control (risks) rather than reducing inherent hazards
- The processes addressed chemicals one-by-one
- The laws did not generate sufficient chemical information
- The processes have been slow, adversarial and costly
- The laws have not stimulated green chemistry and safer chemicals

Reforming the Toxic Substances Control Act

- Senate –S. 697
- Creates Safety Assessments, Safety Standards, Safety Determinations
- EPA identifies 10 High Priority Substances per year with 25 maximum and 10 Low Priority Substances with 25 maximum
- States are pre-empted when EPA begins an assessment
- Develops a Sustainable Chemistry Program

- House—H.R. 2576
- EPA conducts 10 or more Risk Evaluations per year
- States are pre-empted when EPA finds a substance poses no unreasonable risk
- EPA publishes a list of Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic Substances
- Sets a fee

• Sets a fee

TSCA reform will provide necessary, but modest improvements

The Push for Safer Chemicals is Widespread

- Consumers are looking for safer chemical products
- States are generating safer chemical laws and policies
- NGOs are driving safer chemical market campaigns
- Foreign and international governments are setting global chemicals policy
- Product manufacturers are establishing internal corporate chemicals policies
- Retailers are creating chemical screening programs
- Chemists are synthesizing new chemicals that are safer for human health and the environment

There are many safer chemical initiatives...

...however, they are fragmented and not scaled to adequately address the chemicals problem

We need to build a Comprehensive Safer Chemical Strategy



First Step: Reframe the Chemicals Problem

 Shift from a focus on controlling hazardous chemical risks in wastes, workplaces and products to a focus on converting the chemicals market and chemical industry to inherently safer chemicals

Shifting the Chemicals Problem Focus



Second Step: Take a Systems Approach

 Consider the chemicals economy as a vast chemical production and consumption system and locate and press the most promising levers for change

Why focus on the Chemical Economy?

- Hazardous chemicals are the result of economic determinants
 - price, performance, competition, production efficiencies
- More fundamental solutions to can be achieved by examining the function and role hazardous chemicals play in the economy
- Raises questions such as:
 - What is the function of this chemical?
 - Is it necessary?
 - What other chemicals and functions are linked to this chemical?
 - Are there preferred alternatives on the market?

Why take a Systems Approach?

- Provides a big picture
- Incorporates life cycle thinking
- Reveals the linkages among chemicals
- Reveals the vulnerabilities and opportunities for intervening to make changes in the system
 - Regulate at the point of chemical use
 - Regulate at the point of chemical manufacture
 - Regulate at the point of emission or disposal
 - Provide technical assistance...where and to whom
 - Invest in research on alternatives

Principles for a Safer Chemicals Strategy

- **Comprehensive**—covers all chemicals
- Transparent—increases chemical information and public knowledge
- **Participatory**—engages multiple parties
- Hazard-based—focuses on intrinsic properties
- **Transformative**—transitions from high hazard to lower hazard substances
- Innovative—encourages research and green chemistry

Building Blocks for a Safer Chemicals Strategy

- **1. Set Goals and Plans**
- 2. Characterize and Classify All Chemicals
- 3. Generate and Make Accessible Chemical Information
- 4. Work in Economic Sectors
- 5. Prioritize Chemical Groups in Sectors
- 6. Accelerate Substitution with Safer Alternatives
- 7. Promote Safer Alternatives
- 8. Reconstruct Government Capacity

1. Set National Goals and Plans

Models:

US EPA's Clean Water Action Plan, Climate Change Action Plan State Mercury Reduction Plans

European Union "Generational Goal":

"By 2020...chemicals are only produced and used in ways that do not pose significant threats to human health or the environment"

2. Characterize and Classify All Chemicals

Preferred Chemicals Chemicals of Unknown Use, but Periodically Review Concern Poorly Characterized Chemicals **Chemicals of Some Concern** Avoid, but promote Research Use, but with Care **Chemicals of Concern** Hazardous Chemicals Seek Substitutes **Chemicals of Very High Concern** Highly Hazardous Chemicals Avoid, phase out Use

Universal Classification of Chemical Substances

Classifying Chemicals



Characterization Criteria

GHS—Globally Harmonized System for Classification and Labeling of Chemicals

WHO Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazard

Public Review and Comment

AstraZeneca Solvent Selection Tool



Solvent Selection Guide

Solvent selection is a key part of process development. Because of the volumes used, solvents can often result in the biggest SHE impact of a process. This summary table assigns a score from 1 to 10 for each solvent under the respective categories with 10 being of concern and 1 suggesting few issues. This is further simplified by using colour coding with scores between 1 and 3 being green, 4 to 7 yellow and 8 to 10 red.

| Substance | | | Sat | fety | Health | Environment | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|--------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|---|---------|--------------|------------------------|
| | Name | CAS No. | Flammability | Static | Health | Impact in Air | VOC Potential | Impact in Water | Potential Biotreatment Plant Load | Recycle | Incineration | Life Cycle Analysis |
| | Methane sulphonic acid ¹ | 75-75-2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 A | 1 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
| Acids | Propionic acid | 79-09-4 | 3 | <u>a</u> | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| interas. | Acetic acid (glacial) | 64-19-7 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| | Formic acid | 64-18-6 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Isoamyi alcohol | 123-51-3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | Data not available |
| | 1-Pentanol | 71-41-0 | 7 | | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| | Isobutanol | 78-83-1 | 7 | - dt. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| | n-Butanol | 71-36-3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| Alcohols: | Isopropanol | 67-63-0 | 7 | .1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| | IMS/Ethanol | 64-17-5 | 7 | | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | |
| | Methanol | 67-56-1 | 7 | | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| | t-Butanol | 75-65-0 | 7 | | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 5 | |
| | 2-Methoxy ethanol | 109-86-4 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| | | | 10 | 1. 1. | | | | | | | | |
| | Isopar G | 90822-57-4 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 1 | Data not available |
| Albanas | n-hepane | 142-82-5 | 7 | | 3 | | 5 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | |
| Aikalies. | Isooctane | 540-84-1 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 5 | +0 | 5 | 2 | - 1 | 2 |
| | Cyclohexane | 110-82-7 | 7 | | 6 | | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| | Isohexane | 107-83-5 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | | | | | Second Second | | | | | | |
| Aromatics: | Xylene | 1330-20-7 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basics: | Triethylamine | 121-44-8 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| | Pyridine | 110-86-1 | 1 | | 8 | 10 | 3 | 4 | <u> </u> | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 1 | Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | 7 | ar te | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Chlorinated: | Methylene chloride ² | 75-09-2 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 7 |
| e e | | | | | | | 2 | | | - | | |
| | n-Butyl acetate | 123-86-4 | 7 | (a) (a) | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Esters: | Isopropyl acetate | 108-21-4 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 414647305400955 12 | Ethyl acetate | 141-78-6 | 7 | | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Diphenyl ether | 101-84-8 | | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| | Anisole | 100-66-3 | 3 | 10 | 2 | | 1 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| | Tetrahydrofuran | 109-99-9 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| | Diglyme | 111-96-6 | 3 | | 8 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1.0 | 5 | 6 |
| Ethers: | 2-Methyltetrahydrofuran | 96-47-9 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| | MTBE | 1634-04-4 | 7 | | . 9 | 2 | | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| | 1,2 Dimethoxyethane | 110-71-4 | 3 | | 10 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| | 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 7 | | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 5 |
| | Diethyl ether | 60-29-7 | 1.0 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 2 |

ACS Green Chemistry Institute Solvent Selection Guide

Scoring System: Five categories: safety, health, environment (air), environment (water), and environment (waste).

- Uses color coding to indicate rankings
 Range 1 to 3 shown as green
 - 4 to 7 as **yellow**
 - 8 to 10 as **red**

| | - | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--------------|--------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Substance Information | and the second sec | | S | coring Informa | tion | 1 |
| Solvent Name | CAS Number | Safety | Health | Env (Air) | Env (Water) | Env (Waste) |
| ACETIC ACID | 64-19-7 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| ACETIC ANHYDRIDE | 108-24-7 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 7 |
| FORMIC ACID | 64-18-6 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| METHANE SULPHONIC ACID | 75-75-2 | | - | 6 | 6 | 10 |
| PROPIONIC ACID | 79-09-4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| I-BUTANOL | 71-36-3 | - 3 ± | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| I-PROPANOL | 71-23-8 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 6 |
| 2-BUTANOL | 78-92-2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 (3) 6 | 5 |
| 2-METHOXYETHANOL | 109-86-4 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 7 |
| BENZYL ALCOHOL | 100-51-6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| ETHANOL | 64-17-5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | | 6 |
| ETHYLENE GLYCOL | 107-21-1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 CH (| 7 |
| ROANYL ALCOHOL | 100 61 0 | | | E | | 4 |

| | A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER | 100000 | 1.41 | 16.45 | 1.00 | 111.00 | 110.2 |
|----------|--|------------|------|-----------------------|------|--------|-------|
| - 6 | -0-50may | | | | | | |
| - 82 | 141.51 | 100 | | | | - | |
| - 6 | CALLER AND | | - | _ | | | - |
| - 7 | Contraction of the local distance of the loc | 281 | | | | | |
| | -111 | 881 | | | | | |
| | Color Seguin | 18.011 | | the second second | | 100 | |
| | 201 | | | | | _ | |
| - | N. P.S. Street | | | | | | |
| | A Toring | C.C. | | | | | - |
| | COLOR AND A STR. | 1000 | _ | | | - | |
| - 6 | C 840 | 141 | | | | | - |
| | | - | | and the second second | | | - |
| - 6 | 104 | 1.4 | - | | | | - |
| - | Tor, and The | 10.000 | | | | | |
| 100 - D | City of Could Denis | 1934 | | | - | | |
| 400 - 00 | and the second second | | | | | | 2 |
| | Children of the second second | County of | - | - | - | | |
| | | 1.21 | | _ | - | - | - |
| 201 12 | 10.00 | 7.01 | - | _ | | - | 1000 |
| 200 | Contract of Contract | | | _ | | - | - |
| _ | ALCO DOM | 292 | _ | | - | - | |
| | Bar 210 92 | 11.7 | | | | | |
| | 10.00 | 444 | | | | _ | |
| — p | 191119129 | - 9.50 | | | - | | |
| | the state of the state | 10.00 | | | _ | 1000 | |
| - 15 | COLUMN TWO IS NOT | 450 | | _ | | - | - |
| - 14 | C.L. | 19.26 | | | - | | |
| - 2 | CO. 497 8.72 7524 2000 | 104.04.0 | 1000 | | - | | - |
| | An of the Part of | 2.00 | | | | - | - |
| | CONTRACTOR OF THE PART | THE R OF A | - | | - | - | - |
| | the second second | 10.01 | _ | | | _ | - |

3. Generate and Make Accessible Chemical Information

Promote Chemical Profiles on All Chemicals

Models: High Production Volume Chemical Challenge

European Union REACH Chemical Dossiers

"No Data; No Market"

۰



Tools for Generating Chemical Information

Models: EPA and European Tools for testing, screening, modeling, estimating

Hazard Assessments

Authoritative lists SARs, QSARs EPA's PBT Profiler, TEST EPA's Oncologic, ECOSAR Release and Exposure Assessments TRI And PRTRs

EPA's EPI Suite, ChemSTEER, E-FAST

Biomonitoring

Chemical Inventories

EPA's CDR EU's EINECS Scandinavian product registries NEMOA's IMERC

Chemical Testing

Invivo - Invitro lab testing ToxCast , ToxRefDB High throughput computational toxicology

4. Work in Economic Sectors

Why work in Sectors?

- Firms often use similar chemicals and have similar chemical problems
- Firms often share supply chains



- Multiple environmental problems can be solved at once
- Assessments of alternatives can be pre-competitive and considered collectively
- Firms can learn from and support each other
- Government initiative can leverage broader effects

Models: EPA's Sectors Program, Common Sense Initiative

Sectors with On-Going Safer Chemical Initiatives

| Sector | Promoters | Programs |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Health care | Health Care without Harm, Practice | CleanMed, Green Guide to Health Care |
| | Greenhealth | |
| Cosmetics and | Environmental Working Group, | Skin Deep, Safe Cosmetics Compact, Safe |
| personal care | GoodGuide, Campaign for Safe | Cosmetics Business Network, GoodGuide |
| products | Cosmetics | |
| Cleaning products | EPA, GreenBlue Institute, | CleanGredients, SaferChoice |
| Electronics | Green Electronics Council, Institute for | EPEAT, JIG, Environmental Product |
| | Printed Circuits, Lead Free Soldering | Declarations |
| | Partnership, International Electronics | |
| | Manufacturing Initiative | |
| Clothing and | American Apparel and Footwear | Eco-labels, Eco-Index, Higg Index, Joint |
| apparel | Association, Sustainable Apparel | Roadmap for Zero Discharge, Environmental |
| | Coalition, OIA | Product Declarations |
| Building | USGBC, Healthy Building Network, | LEED, BASTA, Pharos, Environmental Product |
| construction | Swedish Construction Federation | Declarations, Eco-labels |
| Agriculture | National Sustainable Agriculture | Organic Farming, Integrated Pest Management, |
| | Coalition, Northeast Organic Farming | Integrated Nutrient Management |
| | Association | |
| Automobile | Automobile assembly companies, | IMDS, GADSL, Consumer Action Guides |
| | Ecology Center | |
| Pharmaceuticals | ACS Green Chemistry Institute | Green Chemistry Pharmaceutical Roundtable |

Chemical Information Exchanges within Sectors

| Program | Sector | Information |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| International Material Data System (IMDS) | Automobile | Chemicals used in automobile assembly |
| IPC 1752Joint Industry Guide (JIG) | Electronics | Chemicals used in electronics |
| BOMCheck | Electronics | Chemicals covered by REACH and the E,U. RoHS, Battery and Packaging Directives |
| Outdoor Industry Association, Chemical Management Framework | Footwear and Apparel | Chemicals used in footwear and clothing production |
| Cleangredients | Cleaning Products | Chemicals used in formulated cleaning products |

5. Prioritize Chemical Groups in Sectors



Grouping Chemicals

Grouping chemicals goes beyond the singular chemical focus—

Options:

- by chemical family
 - PFCs, halogens, heavy metals
- by end point
 - cancer, endocrine disruption, aquatic toxicity
- by exposure pattern
 - occupational hazard, hazard to children
- by function
 - flame retardant, stain prevention, degreasing

6. Accelerate Substitution to Safer Alternatives in Economic Sectors

Develop Economic Sector-Based Substitution Plans

Models:

Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Plans Washington State Chemical Action Plans US EPA Chemical Action Plans



TUR Plans – evaluating safer alternatives



Technical Feasibility

Analyze current processes and use of toxics

Evaluate safer alternatives Choose alternative on technical merits Employ sound engineering principles

Financial Viability

Collect information on cost of toxics Determine changes in cash flows Apply measures of profitability Base decisions on accepted accounting practice





Toxics Reduction Methods

In-process recycling

Input substitution Product reformulation Process redesign or modification Improve operation & maintenance

14

Methods for Assessing Alternatives

Alternatives Assessment Frameworks

Models: TURI/Lowell Center Framework EU-ECHA Framework Biz-NGO AA Framework IC2 AA Framework

California Safer Consumer Product Regulation

NAS Chemical Alternatives Framework



Tools for Assessing Chemical Hazards

- TURI Pollution Prevention Options Analysis System (P2OASys)
- German Column Model
- EPA's SaferChoice (DFE Chemical Hazard Assessment) Framework
- Clean Production Action's GreenScreen®
- Washington State's Quick Chemical Assessment Tool (QCAT)

Table 9: Example of Two Halogenated Solvents

| | Human - Group 1 | | | | | Human - Group 2 | | | | | Eco | | | Fate | | Physical | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|----|---|----|----|-----------------|----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|----|----------|-----|-----|---|
| | C | M | R | D | E | AT | ST | N | SnS | SnR | Irs | IrE | AA | CA | Eo | P | B | Ex. | F |
| Chlorinated | DG | L | L | I, | DG | M | X | X | X | X | X | X | M | X | X | NH. | vi, | X | X |
| Fluorinated | L | L. | L | L | DG | L | X | X | X | X | X | X | DG | X | X | vH | vL. | X | X |

| 3 | Grades | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Initial | Data Gap | Final | | | | | |
| Chlorinated | C | C | с | | | | | |
| Fluorinated | в | alia. | E.c. | | | | | |

7. Promote Safer Alternatives

Green Chemistry and Engineering Centers

Models: Warner Babcock Institute for Green Chemistry Center for Green Chemistry, University of Oregon Institute for Green Science, Carnegie-Mellon Center for Green Chemistry, UC Berkeley Center for Green Chemistry and Engineering, Yale School of Green Chemistry and Engineering, University of Toledo

Funding for Green Chemistry Research

Models: NSF Green Chemistry Basic Research Program

Green Chemistry Research and Development Bill

SaferMade

State Sponsored Green Chemistry Programs

- Michigan's Green Chemistry Program
 - Green Chemistry Action Plan
 - Michigan Green Chemistry and Engineering Conference
 - Green Chemistry Governor's Awards
- Northwest Green Chemistry
 - Workshops and technical assistance
 - Safer Chemicals Champion's Awards
 - Center soon to be independent





Businesses Making Safer Chemicals

| Company | Characteristics |
|------------------|---|
| Segentis | Phthalate-free plasticizers |
| Metabolix | Chemical intermediates used in the production of |
| | resins, fibers, solvents, personal care products |
| SoyClean | Cleaners derived from soy and citrus, vegetable and |
| | seed oils |
| Air Products | Nonylphenol ethoxylates-free surfactants made from |
| | palm oil |
| Soy Technologies | Ready-to-use formulations for cosmetics, personal care |
| | products, paints and coatings |
| Allylix | Terpenes and derivatives for crop protection, biocides, |
| | flavors, fragrances and pharmaceuticals |
| SyntheZyme | Polyhydroxyalkanoate polymers and biosurfactants |

8. Reconstruct Government Capacity

Work within Current Federal Authorities

Promulgate new regulations and standards

Set national goals and plans Collaborate in Economic Sector Work Groups

Generate chemical information and databases Support Green Chemistry and Engineering

Expand Federal Authorities

Reform current Chemical Control Statutes

Create a new Chemicals Agency

A National Chemicals Agency

Develop a non-regulatory Federal Chemicals Agency

- collect and disseminate information
- promote chemical research
- conducts risk, life cycle and alternatives assessments
- promote safer alternatives

Models: Swedish Chemicals Agency (Keml)



A supervisory authority that works within Sweden and the EU to promote legislation and programs that contribute to achieving "A Non-Toxic Environment".

European Chemicals Agency



The Agency's mission is to ensure consistency in chemicals management across the EU and to provide technical and scientific advice, guidance and information on chemicals.

We can solve the Chemicals Problem We can have a vibrant, productive and safer economy



It will take a broad and inclusive movement for safer chemicals

For more information

www.materialspolicy.org

Questions?

- BizNGO
- Post your question to the Questions pane in your
 GoToWebinar Control Panel
- Any unanswered questions can be asked at <u>bizngo@cleanproduction.org</u>
- Presentation and recording will be available at <u>www.bizngo.org</u>